

Philipps

The Variational Coupled Gaussian Process Dynamical Model

Dmytro Velychko, Dominik Endres Theoretical Neuroscience Group, Department of Psychology Philipps-University, 35032 Marburg, Germany.



velychko@staff.uni-marburg.de,dominik.endres@uni-marburg.de

#### Introduction $\mathcal{GP}(\mu(.),k(.,.))$ $(\boldsymbol{r}_0^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{r}_{j_1}^1)$ Planning and execution of full-body movements is a hard control problem. Modular movement primitives $^{1}(X^{1})$ (MP) have been suggested as a means to simplify it while retaining a sufficient degree of control flexibility for a wide range of task [Bizzi et al., 2008]. A particularly well-developed type of MP in

robotics is the dynamical movement primitive (DMP) [Schaal, 2006]. But the form of the differential equation of a DMP remains fixed during learning, potentially reducing the representational capacity. Coupled Gaussian Process Dynamical Model (CGPDM) [Velychko et al., 2014] combines the advantages of modularity and flexibility in the dynamics, at least theoretically. Non-parametric GPs learning have high computational We improve this by employcost. ing sparse variational approximations [Titsias, 2009, Titsias and Lawrence, 2010, Frigola et al., 2014] and obviating the need for sampling.

Universität

Marburg



### Coupled GPDMs model

Full dynamical system comprises Mparts where every part is a modular MP (here 2 for simplicity). We introduce  $M \times M$  dynamics in the latent space, each of which makes prediction about a part of the latent space from the previous state of some (other) part, these predictions are combined via PoE:  $GP(k_{2,1}(X^2, X^{2\prime}))$   $\bullet$  $\mathbf{Q} GP(k_{1,1}(X^1, X^{1\prime}))$ 



$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egi$$

PCA-initialised latents (a), optimized latent and inducing points (b). Meanprediction was used to generate trajectories in latent (c) and observed (d) spaces overlaid with the noisy training data. Explained variance on the training data is  $\approx 0.93$ , and  $\approx 0.91$  on testing data not used for training.

# Variational learning

Proposal variational distributions:  $q(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}, \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t})$ .  $q(\boldsymbol{u})$  and  $q(\boldsymbol{v})$  are unconstrained distributions. The full variational joint proposal posterior distribution is:

$$q(x, u, f, v, g) = p(y|g)p(g|x, v)q(v) \left[\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(f_t|f_{1:t-1}, x_{0:t-1}, u)\right] q(x)q(u)$$

The ELBO:

$$\log p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{f}} q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{g}) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{y})}{q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{g})}$$

Separating the latent dynamics part and applying the sufficient statistics assumption  $q(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{u}) = q(\boldsymbol{u})q(\boldsymbol{x})\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(\boldsymbol{f}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{u})$  [Frigola et al., 2014], we get even much lower bound:

#### Summary

- Presented the variational learning for coupled dynamics in latent space, which are a type of modular MPs
  - Examples with synthetic data

Product-of-Experts for Gaussian experts:



$$p(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{r}|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{:,r},\sigma_{:,r}) \propto \prod_{m} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{r}|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{m,r},\sigma_{m,r}^{2}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow p(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{r}|\mathbf{x}_{i}^{:,r},\sigma_{r}) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{r}^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{r}-\sigma_{r}^{2}\sum_{m}\frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{m,r}}{\sigma_{m,r}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]}{(2\pi\sigma_{r}^{2})^{\frac{\dim(X^{r})}{2}}} \qquad (2)$$

Integrate out the intermediate predictions and get a simplified model:



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\geq \sum_{d=1}^{D} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{g}_{d}} p(\boldsymbol{g}_{d} | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}) q(\boldsymbol{x}) q(\boldsymbol{v}) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{y}_{d} | \boldsymbol{g}_{d})}{q(\boldsymbol{v})} + \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{u})}{q(\boldsymbol{u})} d\boldsymbol{u} + H(q(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ &+ \int q(\boldsymbol{u}) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \int q(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}) q(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \left( \int p(\boldsymbol{f}_{t} | \boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{u}) \log p(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} | \boldsymbol{f}_{t}) d\boldsymbol{f}_{t} \right) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{-t} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where H(q(x)) is the entropy of q(x). The first integral is given in [Titsias and Lawrence, 2010]. The remaining integral is derived below. The innermost integral:

$$\mathcal{A} = \int p(\boldsymbol{f}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{u}) \log p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{f}_t) d\boldsymbol{f}_t$$
  
=  $\log \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{I}\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{-t}} - \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}, \boldsymbol{z}})$ 

The integrals over  $dx_t dx_{-t}$ :

t=1 L

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \int q(\boldsymbol{x}_t) q(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} d\boldsymbol{x}_t d\boldsymbol{x}_{-t} = -\log(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\boldsymbol{I}\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \Psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u} \\ &+ \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}^T \Psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha^{-1} \Psi_0(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) - \alpha^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \Psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \boldsymbol{u} \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{\Sigma} = \sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}$  is a normalization coefficient for multivariate Gaussian with  $\Sigma$  covariance. Then the sum over T can be written as a quadratic form:

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathcal{B} = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^{T} \mathcal{F} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u}^{T} \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{u} - \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{G})^{T} \mathcal{F} (\boldsymbol{u} - \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{G}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^{T} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G}^{T} \mathcal{F}^{-1$$

#### Future work

- Check the advantage of the variational learning vs. MAP
- Train on real MoCap datasets
- Sensory-motor integration as interaction in latent space dynamics
- Deep models

(6)

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from DFG under IRTG 1901 'The Brain in Action' and the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007 - 2013) under grant agreement no 611909 (KoroiBot)

#### References

- [Bizzi et al., 2008] Bizzi, E., Cheung, V., d'Avella, A., Saltiel, P., and Tresch, M. (2008). Combining modules for movement. Brain Research Reviews, 57(1):125 – 133. Networks in Motion.
- [Frigola et al., 2014] Frigola, R., Chen, Y., and Rasmussen, C. (2014). Variational gaussian process statespace models. In Ghahramani, Z., Welling, M., Cortes, C., Lawrence, N., and Weinberger, K., editors, Ad-

Covariance matrix of latent points x:

 $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{P}^T = \sigma_r^2 \mathbf{1}_{(N-1)\times(N-1)} + \sigma_r^4 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\mathbf{K}^m}{\sigma_{m-r}^4}$ (3)

Kernel function  $k_r$  generating these covariance matrices:

 $k_r(X^{:}, X^{:\prime}) = \sigma_r^2 \delta(X^{:}, X^{:\prime}) + \sigma_r^4 \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{k_{m,r}(X^m, X^{m\prime})}{\sigma_{m,r}^4} \qquad (4)$ 

 $\sigma_{m,r}$  can be increased to control the coupling. Coupling strength:  $\sigma_{rel(i,j)}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{j,j}^4}{\tau^4}$ (5)

$$\mathcal{F} = \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \right] \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \qquad \mathcal{G} = \left( \alpha^{-1} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}^T \Psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) \right] \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \right)^T$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[ -\log(\mathcal{Z}_{\boldsymbol{I}\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t} + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{x}_t})) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\alpha^{-1} (\Psi_0(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}) - \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}^{-1} \Psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}_{-t}))) \right]$$

The dynamics ELBO, also accounting for the optimal variational  $q(\boldsymbol{u})$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{dyn}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \log \int p(\boldsymbol{u}) exp(\mathcal{C}) d\boldsymbol{u} + H(q(\boldsymbol{x})) = -\log \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^T \mathcal{F}^{-1} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}})^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{G} + \log \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}^T \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{H} + H(q(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

Due to the factorising property of the PoE kernel, for multiple interacting parts total ELBO is the sum of ELBOs for each part.

vances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27, pages 3680–3688. Curran Associates, Inc.

[Schaal, 2006] Schaal, S. (2006). Dynamic movement primitives -a framework for motor control in humans and humanoid robotics. In Kimura, H., Tsuchiya, K., Ishiguro, A., and Witte, H., editors, Adaptive Motion of Animals and Machines, pages 261–280. Springer Tokyo.

[Titsias, 2009] Titsias, M. K. (2009). Variational learning of inducing variables in sparse gaussian processes. In Dyk, D. A. V. and Welling, M., editors, AISTATS, volume 5 of JMLR Proceedings, pages 567–574. JMLR.org.

[Titsias and Lawrence, 2010] Titsias, M. K. and Lawrence, N. D. (2010). Bayesian gaussian process latent variable model. In Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2010, Chia Laguna Resort, Sardinia, Italy, May 13-15, 2010, pages 844–851.

[Velychko et al., 2014] Velychko, D., Endres, D., Taubert, N., and Giese, M. A. (2014). Coupling Gaussian process dynamical models with product-of-experts kernels. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference* on Artificial Neural Networks, LNCS 8681, pages 603-610. Springer.