

# Convergence of Proximal-Gradient Stochastic Variational Inference under Non-Decreasing Step-Size Sequence

Mohammad Emtiyaz Khan<sup>1</sup>, Reza Babanezhad<sup>2</sup>, Wu Lin<sup>3</sup>, Mark Schmidt<sup>2</sup>, Masashi Sugiyama<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, <sup>2</sup>University of British Columbia, <sup>3</sup>University of Waterloo, <sup>4</sup>University of Tokyo

## Introduction

**Variational methods:** optimization for high-dimensional Bayesian integral.

Drawbacks of existing approaches:

- “Black box”: ignore the **structure** and **geometry** of the problem.
- Non-blackbox methods (SVI) do not extend to **non-conjugate** models.
- Slow convergence due to **decreasing step-size sequence**.

**Contribution:** Proximal-gradient method exploiting **structure/geometry**:

- Exploit the geometry with **divergence functions** (many existing methods as special cases).
- Exploit structure using **convex/non-convex splitting**.
- Convergence under **a constant step size**.
- Setting step-size using structure and geometry.

## Variational Inference

**Bayesian inference:**

- Marginalize unknowns  $\mathbf{z}$  over the joint  $p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ , given data  $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}\}$ .

**Variational inference:**

- Introduce distribution  $q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ , maximize lower bound on integral,
- $$\log \int p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{z} = \log \int q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \frac{p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} d\mathbf{z} \geq \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \left[ \log \frac{p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right] \triangleq \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$
- where  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  contains variational parameters.

## Geometry and Natural Gradients

Gradient-Descent:  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \bigtriangledown \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)$ , s.t.  $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon_k$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k + \delta_k \bigtriangledown \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)$$

Symmetric KL divergence because optimizing distribution parameters:

Natural-Gradient:  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \bigtriangledown \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)$ , s.t.  $\mathbb{D}_{KL}^{sym}[q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \parallel q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)] \leq \epsilon_k$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k + \delta_k \mathbf{I}_k^{-1} \bigtriangledown \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k),$$

Equal to gradient multiplied by inverse of Fisher information  $\mathbf{I}_k$  of  $q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)$

## Proximal-Gradient SVI

Split  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$  into **difficult** and **easy** parts,

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \left[ \log \frac{p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} \right] := \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} [\log \tilde{p}_d(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})]}_{-f(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})} [\log \tilde{p}_e(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})]}_{-h(\boldsymbol{\lambda})},$$

Linearize the difficult terms to yield the iteration

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \left( \sum_{k=1}^M \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k, \xi_k) \right) + h(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{\beta_k} \mathbb{D} [q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \parallel q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)],$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k, \xi_k)$  is approximation of  $f$  at iteration  $k$  and with batch-size  $M$ .

We assume:

- $f$  may be non-convex but  $\nabla f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz continuous,  $h$  is convex.
- $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_k, \xi_k)$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\nabla f$ , with bounded variance  $\sigma^2$ .
- Divergence and  $q$  chosen so that

$$(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k)^T \bigtriangledown \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \parallel \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k) \geq \alpha \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k\|^2,$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Special cases** when  $q$  is an exponential family distribution:

- Mirror descent by using:

$$\mathbb{D}_{Breg}(q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}') \parallel q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda})) := A(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - A(\boldsymbol{\lambda}') - \bigtriangledown A(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')(\boldsymbol{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}').$$

- KL proximal variational inference by using:

$$\mathbb{D}_{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \parallel q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}')) := A(\boldsymbol{\lambda}') - A(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \bigtriangledown A(\boldsymbol{\lambda})(\boldsymbol{\lambda}' - \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

- Stochastic variational inference (SVI) by using:

$$\mathbb{D}_{KL}^{sym}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \parallel \boldsymbol{\lambda}') := \mathbb{D}_{KL}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \parallel \boldsymbol{\lambda}') + \mathbb{D}_{Breg}(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \parallel \boldsymbol{\lambda}').$$

## Examples of Splitting

**Generalized linear model:**

$$p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(y_n|\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{z}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \quad q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{V})$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{V}) := \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{V})} [\log p(y_n|\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{z})] - \mathbb{D}_{KL}[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{V}) \parallel \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})]$$

**Bayesian network with conditional-conjugacy:**

$$p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) := \prod_i p(\mathbf{z}_i|\mathbf{pa}_i) = \prod_i h_i(\mathbf{z}) \exp [\boldsymbol{\eta}_i^T \mathbf{T}_i(\mathbf{z}_i) - A_i(\boldsymbol{\eta}_i)]$$

$$q_i(\mathbf{z}_i|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i) := h_i(\mathbf{z}) \exp [\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i^T \mathbf{T}_i(\mathbf{z}_i) - A_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)],$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i) := (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i^*)^T \bigtriangledown A_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i) - A_i(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)$$

## Convergence

**Main result:** Set the step-size  $\beta_k$  so that  $0 < \beta_k \leq 2\alpha_*/L$ , where  $\alpha_* = \alpha - 1/(2c)$  and  $c > 1/(2\alpha)$  is a constant. If  $K$  is the number of iterations and we sample  $R \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$  with density:

$$P_R(k) := \text{Prob}(R = k) = \frac{\alpha_* \beta_k - L \beta_k^2 / 2}{\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_* \beta_k - L \beta_k^2 / 2)},$$

then with  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}^*$  the local maximum we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta_R} \mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_R - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{R-1}\|^2) \leq \frac{\underline{\mathcal{L}}^* - \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0) + \frac{1}{2} q \sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_k / M_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K [\alpha_* \beta_k - \frac{1}{2} L \beta_k^2]}.$$

**Constant step-size:** If simply set  $\beta_k = \alpha_*/L$  and  $M_k = M$  then we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_R - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{R-1}\|^2) / \beta_R \leq \frac{2L}{K \alpha_*^2} [\underline{\mathcal{L}}^* - \underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0)] + \frac{q \sigma^2}{M \alpha_*}$$

## Experiments

Results for GP classification using negative log-likelihood (lower is better).

