# Nesting Probabilistic Programs

Tom Rainforth Department of Statistics, University of Oxford



- We investigate the statistical implications of nesting probabilistic programs
- Nesting programs allows definition of models which could not otherwise be expressed, e.g. experimental design, reasoning about reasoning [1]
- Changes are required to existing systems to ensure consistent estimation
- ► We delineate possible nesting methods and assert their respective correctness using recent results from nested Monte Carlo estimation [2, 3]

#### Take Home

- Observing the output of another query (i.e. program) is statistically sound, though semantically challenging
- Sampling from the conditional distribution of one query inside another is semantically straightforward but statistically problematic:

# **Nested Sampling**

Consider the following nested Anglican [4] model

(defm inner [y D] (defquery outer [D] (let [z (sample (gamma y 1))] (let [y (sample (beta 2 3)) (observe (normal y z) D) z (inner y D)] (\* y z))) z))

for which outer defines the distribution

 $\tilde{\pi}_1(z, y, D) = p(y)p(z|y)p(D|y, z) = \text{Beta}(y; 2, 3)\Gamma(z; y, 1)\mathcal{N}(D; z, y^2)$ compared to the following nested query model

(defquery inner [y D] (defquery outer [D] (let [z (sample (gamma y 1))] (let [y (sample (beta 2 3)) (observe (normal z y) D) dist (conditional inner) z (sample (dist y D))] z)) (\* y z)))



- Convergence is possible but requires additional assumptions/precautions  $\triangleright$  Number of samples used in **each** call of a nested query must  $\rightarrow \infty$ ▷ Convergence rate is very slow:  $O\left(T^{-\frac{2}{2+D}}\right)$  for budget T and depth D
- Using estimates as first class variables is also statistically problematic

#### Nested Monte Carlo (NMC)

Standard Monte Carlo

$$\gamma = \mathbb{E}[f(y)] \approx I = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(y_n) \text{ where } y_n \sim p(y).$$
 (1)

 $\blacktriangleright$  Nested Monte Carlo (taking depth D = 2 as an example)

$$\gamma_{0} = \mathbb{E}\left[f_{0}\left(y^{(0)}, \mathbb{E}\left[f_{1}\left(y^{(0:1)}, \mathbb{E}\left[f_{2}\left(y^{(0:2)}\right) \middle| y^{(0:1)}\right]\right) \middle| y^{(0)}\right]\right)\right]$$
(2)  
$$\approx I_{0} = \frac{1}{N_{0}} \sum_{n_{0}=1}^{N_{0}} f_{0}\left(y^{(0)}_{n_{0}}, \frac{1}{N_{1}} \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{N_{1}} f_{1}\left(y^{(0:1)}_{n_{1}}, \frac{1}{N_{2}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{N_{2}} f_{2}\left(y^{(0:2)}_{n_{2}}\right)\right)\right)$$
(3)

Recently demonstrated general convergence rate for mean squared error [2]

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(I_0 - \gamma_0\right)^2\right] \le \frac{\varsigma_0^2}{N_0} + \left(\frac{C_0\varsigma_1^2}{2N_1} + \sum_{k=0}^{D-2} \left(\prod_{d=0}^k K_d\right) \frac{C_{k+1}\varsigma_{k+2}^2}{2N_{k+2}}\right)^2 + O(\epsilon). \quad (4)$$

where (conditional inner) is the conditional distribution of inner and we have  $\tilde{\pi}_2(z, y, D) = p(y)p(z|y, D) = p(y)\frac{p(z|y)p(D|y, z)}{p(D|y)} \neq \tilde{\pi}_1(z, y, D).$ 

The partial normalization constant p(D|y) depends on y and so  $\tilde{\pi}_2(z, y, D)$  is doubly intractable – we cannot evaluate our unnormalized target distribution exactly

# How does Anglican do? Not well!



#### ► (Red) What it should generate ► (Cyan) What it does generate

- ► (Green) What the unnested model generates
- ► (Grey) What is generated if the observe in inner is ignored

# What went wrong?

- Consistency of sampling from nested queries follows from (4), but only if every  $N_k \to \infty$
- $\blacktriangleright$  conditional implicitly uses a fixed  $N_k$  giving asymptotic bias
- As conditional returns unweighted samples, Anglican is unable to catch the

where  $K_k$ ,  $C_k$ , and  $\varsigma_k$  are constants.

▶ Bound tightest when  $N_0 \propto N_1^2 \propto \cdots \propto N_k^2$  giving convergence rate  $O\left(T^{-\frac{2}{2+D}}\right)$  where  $T = N_0 N_1 \dots N_k \Rightarrow$  decreases exponentially with depth

# **Empirical Demonstration of Convergence of NMC**



- Simple analytic model from [2]
- ▶ (Red) If  $N_2 = 5$  is fixed then doesn't converge
- $\blacktriangleright$  (Blue) Setting  $N_0 \propto N_1 \propto N_2$ gives slow convergence
- $\blacktriangleright$  (Green) Setting  $N_0 \propto N_1^2 \propto N_2^2$ gives faster convergence

(5)

# **Special Cases**

- Discrete expectations can be collapsed through enumeration
- $\blacktriangleright$  Linear  $f_k$  can be collapsed, e.g. for linear  $f_1$  and D=1 $\mathbb{E}\left[f_0\left(y^{(0)}, \mathbb{E}\left[f_1\left(y^{(0:1)}\right) \middle| y^{(0)}\right]\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f_0\left(y^{(0)}, f_1\left(y^{(0:1)}\right)\right)\right]$

special cases

# **Nested Observation**

- Instead of sampling from another query we might want to condition on it giving a certain output
- Statistical correctness follows directly from the linearity and products of expectations special cases given in [2]
- Can first of such cases as defining pseudo-marginal approaches
- ► There are still substantial semantical difficulties in general it is not possible to evaluate the density on program outputs

#### **Estimates as Variables**

- One might wish to use estimates as variables in another program
- This allows arbitrary nested estimation problems to be encoded, but correctness must be assessed on a case-by-case basis

(defm prior [] (normal 0 1)) (defm lik [theta d] (normal theta d))

(defquery inner-q [y d]

(defquery outer-q [d M] (let [theta (sample (prior)) y (sample (lik theta d)) log-lik (observe\* (lik theta d)

- Products of expectations can be combined to a single expectation over a distribution defined by the product of the marginal distributions
- These latter two demonstrate consistency of nested sampling when inputs to the inner query are deterministic and proper weighting is used

#### References

- [1] Andreas Stuhlmüller and Noah D Goodman. Reasoning about reasoning by nested conditioning: Modeling theory of mind with probabilistic programs. Cognitive Systems Research, 28:80-99, 2014.
- [2] Tom Rainforth, Robert Cornish, Hongseok Yang, Andrew Warrington, and Frank Wood. On the opportunities and pitfalls of nesting Monte Carlo estimators. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.06181, 2017.
- [3] Gersende Fort, Emmanuel Gobet, and Eric Moulines. MCMC design-based non-parametric regression for rare-event. application to nested risk computations. Monte Carlo Methods Appl, 2017.
- [4] David Tolpin, Jan-Willem van de Meent, Hongseok Yang, and Frank Wood. Design and implementation of probabilistic programming language Anglican. In Proceedings of the 28th Symposium on the Implementation and Application of Functional Programming Languages, page 6. ACM, 2016.

```
(let [theta (sample (prior))]
                                                  y)
 (observe (lik theta d) y)))
                                       log-marg (inner-E
                                                   y d M)]
                                  (- log-lik log-marg))))
(defn inner-E [y d M]
 (->> (doquery :importance
        inner-q [y d])
                                (defn outer-E [d M N]
                                 (->> (doquery :importance
      (take M)
                                        outer-q [d M])
      log-marginal))
                                      (take N)
                                      collect-results
                                      empirical-mean))
```

#### Acknowledgements



rainforth@stats.ox.ac.uk, http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~twgr/